**ANUNNAKI.LIVE | ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY**

# Professional Audit Report

Fictional full population supplier payment audit | Meridius Components (Pty) Ltd

Dummy training example. The people, entity, evidence, identifiers, results and cryptographic values below are fictional. This demonstrates report presentation only; it is not legal advice, an audit opinion, or a professional service engagement.

EXECUTIVE CONCLUSION

## ACTION REQUIRED — CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED

ACTION REQUIRED: all 42 fictional payment items in the supplied population were tested. Two exceptions were recorded against the stated approval criterion. This is a full population result for the supplied data set only.

| | |
|---|---|
| Population and testing | 42 of 42 fictional supplier payments in the defined September 2026 population were tested. |
| Exceptions | 2 approval-timestamp exceptions; 4.8% of the admitted population. |
| Control conclusion | The stated approval control did not operate without exception for the full admitted population. |
| Professional action required | Investigate, correct and retest each exception before claiming remediation. |

## Report at a glance

| | |
|---|---|
| Report identifier | DEMO-AUD-2026-001 |
| Scope | All 42 fictional supplier payments posted by Meridius Components (Pty) Ltd during September 2026, excluding reversals. |
| As-at date | 1 September 2026 to 30 September 2026. Evidence captured 16 October 2026. |
| Reliance status | Evidence-bounded illustrative output. It must not be relied on as an external assurance, statutory audit, legal opinion or confirmation of external effect. |
| Connected implications | 7 connected conditions are derived from this record. They are shown in Appendix B and require evidence or professional action before they can be concluded. |
| Required next action | Open and resolve each of the two fictional exceptions. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

# 1. Evidence status and reliance instruction - read before using this report

This section removes any ambiguity about time. The report is a sealed snapshot, not a continuing assurance. “Current” and “not current” have the exact meanings below.

| Status label | Exact meaning for this report | What the professional must do |
|---|---|---|
| CURRENT - event bounded | The admitted evidence package, complete 42-item population, scope and rule version were assessed at 16 October 2026, 14:00 SAST (UTC+2). “Current” means current only until the first listed change event occurs. It does not mean the control will continue to operate as shown. | Before relying on this audit, confirm that no listed change event has occurred since the capture point. If you cannot confirm this, treat the report as NOT CURRENT and rerun the audit. |
| NO FIXED CALENDAR EXPIRY | This audit does not have a later calendar expiry. Instead, it becomes NOT CURRENT immediately when a correction is made, a payment enters the defined scope, an approval record changes, or the policy or standard changes. | Do not wait for a scheduled review date. Bind the changed record and rerun the complete population at the first change event. |
| NOT CURRENT | NOT CURRENT means this sealed snapshot remains a true record of what was tested on 16 October 2026, but it cannot support a conclusion about the changed population or changed criteria. | Do not extend the conclusion to later payments, corrected records or changed standards. Run a new full-population audit. |
| EVIDENCE ITEM STATUS | Every evidence row below states what “current” means for that item and exactly which event makes that item not current. A valid hash proves the file is unchanged; it does not prove the information is still current. | Check the row that relates to the proposed reliance. Recompute if that row is not current or if its current status cannot be verified. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## 2. Basis for conclusion

Professional basis and criteria: The IIA Global Internal Audit Standards, 2024 Edition, together with fictional Procure to Pay Policy v4.2.

Specific reference: IIA Principle 13: Plan Engagements Effectively and Principle 14: Conduct Engagement Work; fictional control P2P 4.1 requiring documented approval before payment release.

Issuer / policy owner: The Institute of Internal Auditors; fictional policy owner: Meridius Chief Financial Officer.

Applicability: The fictional engagement is an internal audit of a defined payment control population. The fictional audit mandate adopts the stated professional framework and control criteria.

Version and effective period: IIA Global Internal Audit Standards 2024 Edition, effective 9 January 2025; fictional policy v4.2, effective 1 August 2026.

The stated standard, law and policy supply the professional criteria. They are distinct from the Anunnaki method, which records how supplied evidence, dependencies and time limits are bounded and recomputed.

## 3. Scope, responsibilities and limitations

Scope: All 42 fictional supplier payments posted by Meridius Components (Pty) Ltd during September 2026, excluding reversals.

Time boundary: 1 September 2026 to 30 September 2026. Evidence captured 16 October 2026.

Professional responsibility: before relying on the conclusion, the responsible professional must confirm the evidence-status labels remain true, establish the applicability and currency of the cited basis, assess whether the admitted evidence is sufficient, and adopt or reject the computed result. The engine cannot invent authority, infer missing evidence or make the professional conclusion.

Management / evidence-provider responsibility: provide complete, accurate and authorised evidence, disclose relevant limitations, notify the professional of every change trigger, retain underlying records and execute any required actions.

Report limitation: this conclusion is confined to the stated scope, evidence and capture time. A CURRENT label does not establish legal entitlement, professional qualification, continuing assurance, execution or external effect. A NOT CURRENT label means a new computation is required before reliance.

## 4. Evidence considered and method

The deterministic assessment uses only the evidence admitted below against the stated criteria. Each row states the evidence-status label, its meaning and its change trigger. Where evidence is missing, contradictory, not current or outside scope, the process pauses for evidence, requires corrective work or blocks an unsupported claim; it cannot fill gaps by inference.

| Evidence ID | Supplied item and use | Digest excerpt | Status, meaning and change trigger |
|---|---|---|---|
| E-AUD-01 | Supplied IIA standard extract, fictional audit mandate and Procure to Pay Policy v4.2. Bound as governing basis and criteria. | 1ca4de77...387b | CURRENT at capture only if the cited standard, audit mandate and policy v4.2 remain unchanged. NOT CURRENT if any is amended, replaced, withdrawn or found not to apply. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

| Evidence ID | Supplied item and use | Digest excerpt | Status, meaning and change trigger |
|---|---|---|---|
| E-AUD-02 | Fictional September payment population export: 42 items. Bound as complete audit population. | 7254ad3f...de28 | CURRENT at capture only for 42 September payments excluding reversals. NOT CURRENT if a payment is added, removed, corrected, reversed or found to be in scope. |
| E-AUD-03 | Fictional approval workflow records for all 42 items. Bound as operating evidence. | f8d0b6e3...f42d | CURRENT at capture only for the supplied workflow records. NOT CURRENT if an approval timestamp, approval record or linked payment record changes or is corrected. |
| E-AUD-04 | Fictional exception register: two payments lacking an approval timestamp. Bound as exception record. | 6b4cf10a...3d91 | CURRENT at capture only for the two recorded exceptions. NOT CURRENT if either exception is corrected, reclassified, replaced or linked to additional evidence. |
| E-AUD-05 | Fictional internal audit charter excerpt. Bound as audit authority. | cf2b9d94...510e | CURRENT at capture only if the audit authority remains in force. NOT CURRENT if the charter, mandate, role, scope or delegated authority changes or expires. |

## 5. Detailed finding and recommendation

Conclusion: ACTION REQUIRED: all 42 fictional payment items in the supplied population were tested. Two exceptions were recorded against the stated approval criterion. This is a full population result for the supplied data set only.

The recommendation below is required before remediation can be concluded. The two exceptions remain open until correction evidence is admitted and the complete population is retested.

Finding 1 - full population coverage
All 42 items in the admitted September 2026 supplier-payment population were tested against the stated approval criterion. This supports a full-population conclusion for the supplied data set only.

Finding 2 - approval exceptions
Two of the 42 fictional payment records lack the required approval timestamp. The control criterion was therefore not evidenced for those two items.

Recommendation 1 - investigate and correct
Owner: the fictional accounts-payable control owner. Investigate each of the two exceptions, correct the underlying record or document the authorised exception, and bind the corrective evidence to the specific payment item.

Recommendation 2 - retest before closure
Owner: the fictional internal-audit lead. Retest each corrected item against P2P 4.1 and rerun the complete population calculation. Do not state that remediation is complete until retest evidence is admitted.

Required action: Open and resolve each of the two fictional exceptions.

Required action: Preserve a correction record and receiving system receipt before claiming remediation.

Required action: Do not extend this conclusion beyond the 42 supplied payment records or the stated September 2026 period.

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## 6. Connect your enterprise through your Professional Twin

Connect your enterprise through your Professional Twin to convert this fixed audit snapshot into a daily continuous audit. The connected Twin reads the authoritative population and operating evidence, tests the full current population every day and reruns immediately when a connected trigger occurs.

How connection works: first select the enterprise systems and evidence sources; then bind the professional role, rule set, scope, data mapping and permitted authority; test the source and approval boundary; and activate only the defined connected work.

Autonomous work within bounds: once activated, the Professional Twin carries out the connected work it is permitted to do. It reads and binds new evidence, checks freshness, recomputes the result, creates and routes necessary work, requests missing records, retests completed work and records receipts. It cannot invent evidence, authority, professional judgement or an external action outside the connected authority boundary. Where a professional adoption, approval or external effect is required, the Twin obtains and records that step rather than silently assuming it.

| Connection step | What your Professional Twin does | What you can see or do that you cannot from this one report |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Connect the complete source population | Connect the payment ledger, approval workflow, procurement, receiving and exception sources that define the audit population and criteria evidence. | The current full population, the tested count, items newly entering scope and the evidence linked to every item rather than a once-off export. |
| 2. Bind the audit criteria and authority | Maps the control, audit mandate, professional role, policy version, data fields and permitted remediation actions to the connected sources. | Which controls are being tested, the exact rule used for each result, ownership of each exception and the boundary of the audit. |
| 3. Activate daily continuous audit | Tests the full connected population each day and reruns immediately after a correction, new in-scope payment, approval change, policy change or standard change. | A current daily audit conclusion, full-population coverage, new and resolved exceptions, freshness, next trigger and the change from the prior sealed version. |
| 4. Let the Twin carry out permitted work | Collects missing records, opens remediation work, routes it to the accountable owner, checks the returned evidence, retests completed items and records receipts. | The live remediation path, retest result, open work, overdue work, completed work and the evidence or receipt that supports each change. |

What changes after connection: the professional no longer sees only this sealed historical report. They see the current result, the evidence and population behind it, what changed since the last version, which conclusion is CURRENT or NOT CURRENT, the exact next deadline or immediate change trigger, every connected implication, the work being carried out by the Twin and the receipts proving completion.

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## 7. How your enterprise information is protected

Connection is designed so that information is unavailable by default outside its exact enterprise, source, matter and authority boundary. The objective is no cross-client visibility and no unrecorded data movement. The controls below prevent, restrict and record access; the professional can see the boundary and the record of any permitted movement.

| Protection control | How your enterprise information is protected |
|---|---|
| Enterprise separation | Each enterprise has its own isolated data boundary. Its sources, evidence, reports, Replays and work records are bound to that enterprise and matter. Another client cannot browse or retrieve them. |
| Minimum necessary access | Each connector is limited to the named system, data fields, scope and action boundary needed for the defined professional work. Access outside that boundary is denied. |
| Encryption and secret handling | Connection traffic and stored evidence are encrypted. Credentials and access tokens are kept separate from report content, restricted to the connector that requires them, and can be revoked. |
| No shared client record pool | Enterprise evidence is not exposed as another client’s source material, professional workspace or shared evidence base. Aggregate views do not expose a client’s underlying records. |
| Controlled external actions | The Twin cannot send an external request unless the connected authority boundary permits that specific action. Every permitted request carries a traceable identifier and its receipt is bound back to the matter. |
| Visible access and change trail | Evidence admission, computation, access-relevant events, actions, retries, corrections and receipts are recorded so the professional can inspect what happened and when. |
| Immediate control | The enterprise can narrow, pause or revoke a connection. The next computation then reflects the changed boundary rather than continuing to use access that has been withdrawn. |

What the professional receives: evidence remains inside the enterprise boundary while the Twin performs the connected work. The professional receives the current determination or audit, the visible evidence trail, the change record and the Replay needed to challenge or audit the result without exposing another client’s information.

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## Appendix A — Report-specific Anunnaki Mathematics and God Code analysis

This is not a glossary or a decorative formula. It shows the exact computations that produce this report's current conclusion and the exact evidence needed to change it. The cited law, standard and policy remain the professional criteria. The calculation does not invent a fact, authority or recommendation: it renders only the consequences of admitted evidence against those criteria.

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Matrix conditions from √1 | The ANUNNAKI Matrix begins with two lawful positions: each payment either satisfies the approval condition or it does not. The audit criterion from P2P 4.1 is applied separately to every admitted payment. Evidence does not create the control requirement; it renders each item against it. |
| 2. Executed population calculation | Population P = 42; tested T = 42; exceptions X = 2. Coverage is T/P = 42/42 = 100%. The exception rate is X/P = 2/42 = 4.76%. These are calculations from the admitted population, not estimates or samples. |
| 3. Executed control predicate | The no-exception control conclusion requires approval evidence for every item: Control = AND(approval_present for all 42 payments). Forty items meet the test and two do not. Therefore the predicate is false. A 4.76% exception rate is not averaged into a passing control conclusion. This is why the report says ACTION REQUIRED. |
| 4. Evidence-bounded confidence | For the claim "the control operated without exception", C_control <= E_population <= P_scope. C_control = 0 because the no-exception predicate is false; E_population = 1 because all 42 admitted items were tested; P_scope = 1 because the defined scope permits this conclusion. Thus 0 <= 1 <= 1. This supports a bounded failure conclusion, not a broader enterprise conclusion. |
| 5. Bend boundary and God Code | The Bend is the first recorded boundary that changes the audit conclusion: the two missing approval timestamps. Current position: action is required. The full population and the two exceptions are confirmed; a claim that there were no exceptions cannot be made from this record. The general Bend expression is method reference only because its numeric inputs are not admitted: B_max = (G x C x M x E x P_s) / (T + K + R). |
| 6. Recommendation path to closure | Investigate each exception -> bind correction or authorised-exception evidence -> retest P2P 4.1 -> bind retest and any receiving-system receipt -> recompute the entire population. A corrected item changes only when its own evidence is admitted. Closure, Sigma V -> 0, requires no unresolved exception or dependency variance across the complete stated population. Trigger an immediate rerun when either exception is corrected, a new payment enters scope, or the policy or standard changes. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## Appendix B — Derived implications for this matter

This is the connected field revealed by the ANUNNAKI Matrix and God Code. It goes beyond the narrow professional question by identifying the conditions, future work and decision paths that the current result necessarily affects. It does not assert facts the professional has not been given. “Confirmed” means supported by the admitted record. “Action required” means a connected path needs work or evidence. “Information missing” identifies a necessary question with no admitted evidence. “Cannot be claimed” blocks an unsupported claim or action.

| Current position | Connected matter | What the mathematics shows | Implication for this report | Required next evidence or action |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Confirmed | Population completeness and coverage | P = 42 and T = 42. Every item in the admitted September population was tested. | The full-population audit conclusion is stronger than a sample conclusion but is bounded to the supplied population and period. | Preserve the population extract and all 42 linked test records in the Replay. |
| Confirmed | Exception set | X = 2. Two items do not meet the required approval timestamp condition. | The two open exceptions are specific connected work nodes, rather than a generic control rating. | Bind each affected payment reference, its original workflow record and the exception record to a remediation matter. |
| Cannot be claimed | No-exception control claim | The no-exception predicate is false because two required conditions do not meet the test. The 4.76% rate cannot be averaged into a pass. | The record cannot say that the control operated without exception for this population. | Do not close the finding or represent remediation as completed until corrected items are retested. |
| Action required | Remediation and retest path | Each exception has a defined transition path: investigation -> correction or authorised exception -> evidence binding -> retest -> population recomputation. | The audit conclusion remains ACTION REQUIRED until each path is evidenced; an explanation alone does not change the result. | Accounts payable provides correction evidence; internal audit retests; the rerun must include the complete population. |
| Information missing | Preventive-control cause and segregation of duties | The missing timestamps confirm the exceptions but do not show why they occurred, whether they are linked, or whether a segregation-of-duties failure exists. | The report must not infer root cause, management override or a wider control failure from two records alone. | Open a separate root-cause and segregation-of-duties determination only if evidence is admitted for those questions. |
| Information missing | Payments outside the September population | The current evidence boundary ends on 30 September 2026. The Matrix reveals the ongoing payment-control path, but no October or later population is admitted. | No continuous-audit or enterprise-wide assurance claim is available from this sealed snapshot. | Connect the authoritative payment and approval sources, then define a new population and run a new version when evidence arrives. |
| Review deadline | Recomputation and propagation | The first correction, a new in-scope payment, a changed policy or a changed standard is a God Code trigger. | Each trigger can change the audit result and may create downstream professional work; it cannot alter the prior sealed Replay. | Recompute at the first trigger and retain the current Replay as the immutable historical audit record. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

## Appendix C — Plain-English guide

You do not need to be a mathematician to use this report. These terms explain why the result is evidence-bounded, time-aware and clear about what must happen next.

| Term in the report | Plain meaning | What the professional does with it |
|---|---|---|
| ANUNNAKI Matrix | The complete map of lawful possible states before this particular matter is observed. | Use it to distinguish what the evidence confirms, what still needs action or information, and what cannot yet be claimed. It does not replace the relevant standard or law. |
| Projection | The current matter as it appears within that map, using the supplied evidence. | Read it as the report's current position for this matter only. New evidence can change it. |
| $C \leq E \leq P$ | Confidence may never be higher than the evidence or the bounded possibility space permits. | Treat the confidence line as a limit on overstatement. It is not a claim of statistical certainty or legal proof. |
| Bend | The defined stability boundary for a matter when its governing factors, time, knowledge and risk are considered together. | Use it to identify when a change needs attention or recomputation. It does not override professional judgement or an external rule. |
| God Code today | A clear statement of what the admitted evidence confirms today and what must happen next. | Read this before acting. It tells you whether action can proceed, what is missing and what cannot yet be claimed. |
| Review deadline and change trigger | The next date, expiry, threshold or event that can change the result. | Record it, assign it to the responsible person or system, and recompute when it occurs. |
| Trigger and transition | The event that moves the report from one state to another, such as new evidence, approval, exception or expiry. | Do not assume the report remains valid after a trigger. Recompute from the changed evidence package. |
| Necessary Work graph | The ordered dependencies that must be completed to move the matter forward or close it. | Use it as a practical work plan. Each completed step needs evidence or a receipt before the next state is claimed. |
| Settlement, $\Sigma V \to 0$ | All relevant differences or unresolved variations in the defined scope have been resolved. | Use it as the closure condition. It does not mean the wider enterprise has no risk or that external effects are proven. |
| Replay | The sealed package that lets an authorised reviewer reproduce this output using the same evidence and method. | Use it for challenge, audit, handover or independent review. Replay proves reproducibility, not that evidence was true or complete. |
| Living report | The current view may be recomputed when evidence, time, connected records or dependencies change; previous sealed versions stay available. | Use the latest view for current work and the sealed Replay for the historical record. |

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE: STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

# Appendix D — Replay and independent review

The sealed Replay is the immutable historical determination or audit record. An authorised third party can obtain the admitted evidence files, rehash each file against the evidence manifest, load the frozen scope, basis, canonicalization profile, rule version, Anunnaki Mathematics and God Code state, evidence bindings and deterministic method, recompute the output, then compare the output and seal. A current view may change when evidence or time changes; the sealed historical Replay does not.

| | |
|---|---|
| Replay package ID | PWR-DEMO-AUD-001 |
| Canonicalization profile | CANON-1.0.0 |
| Input digest | 0e1bce78...8651 |
| Output digest | 5a2b912e...1d60 |
| Seal | SHA 256 canonical record seal: c7780af2...097c |

## Replay proof status

1. Determination proof — the canonical evidence, rule version, God Code state, result, hashes and seal reproduce identically for this fictional package.

2. Authority proof — the action is bounded to the exact authority evidence, scope, expiry, evidence and final approval recorded here. This dummy report does not claim authority beyond that boundary.

3. Execution proof — not claimed. No external request, idempotency key or transmission receipt is recorded in this dummy report.

4. Effect proof — not claimed. No receipt from a receiving system is recorded confirming acceptance or completion.

Independent-review boundary: hashes prove that the captured bytes match the sealed record; they do not prove that a source document was true, complete, current, authorised or legally effective. Those matters require professional assessment and, where relevant, external receipts.

End of illustrative report.

**CONCLUSION: ACTION REQUIRED - CONTROL EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED**

**NEXT STEP: Correct the two exceptions, bind evidence and retest the full population.**

EVIDENCE STATUS: CURRENT AT 16 OCT 2026 14:00 SAST. NOT CURRENT AT THE FIRST CORRECTION, NEW PAYMENT, OR RULE CHANGE.

# Appendix E - Connecting this living report

This report is a sealed version of a professional determination or audit. The report and Replay remain available exactly as issued. An approved enterprise connection does not change this version. It allows later versions to recompute from new evidence inside the agreed scope.

## How to start an enterprise connection

1. Choose one outcome to keep current. Name this determination or audit, its professional owner and the business decision or control it covers.
2. Name only the required evidence source. State the system, exact records, system owner, purpose and whether access is read only or an approved action route.
3. Request connection support at anunnaki.live/#enterprise-connection-guide. Send the enterprise name, jurisdiction, first use case, professional owner, executive approver and IT owner. Never send passwords, API keys, cookies, private keys or confidential client data by email.
4. Approve the connection pack. It records the purpose, fields, population, authority, expiry, revocation, retention, tests, refusals and any outside-system receipt. Technical access is never treated as authority to decide or act.
5. Let the enterprise IT team connect with least privilege using its approved SSO, OAuth or restricted service identity. Test the population, missing evidence, authority expiry, revoked access, duplicate protection and receipts before enabling live use.
6. Use the latest version for current work. A connected report shows the last recomputation, evidence date, CURRENT or NOT CURRENT status, change from the prior version, current conclusion and next work. Every later version preserves its own Replay; earlier sealed versions are never overwritten.

**Connection support**

Start at anunnaki.live/#enterprise-connection-guide or email blitzmartini@gmail.com with the subject: Enterprise Connection Support Request.